**BLDE ASSOCIATION, VIJAYAPUR**

**Yearly Performance Evaluation of**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Professors |  | Associate Professors |  | Assistant Professors\* |  |

[\*with **more than EIGHT** years of experience]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Academic Year** | **Discipline** |
|  | Arts | Commerce | MBA | Education |

**Name of staff member:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Name of the College: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Department: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Biometric ID No.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Current Designation: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Total Teaching Experience: \_\_\_\_**

**Academic Qualifications:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Examination | Name of the Board/University | Year of passing | % of marks obtained | Division/Class/Grade |
| UG |  |  |  |  |
| PG |  |  |  |  |
| PhD |  |  |  |  |
| Any other  |  |  |  |  |

**PART I: Principal Evaluation (Max Points: 30)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No** | **Key performance indicators** | **Max points** | **Points scored** |
| **01** | Performance evaluation of faculty based on special assigned duties pertaining to college/university/board in addition to teaching and research.  | **30** |  |
|  | **Total** | **30** |  |

**PART II: HOD’s Evaluation (Max Points: 20)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No** | **Key performance indicators** | **Max points** | **Points scored** |
| **01** | **Punctuality:** Availability in college, engaging classes regularly and for stipulated period. | **5** |  |
| **02** | **Ability of teaching:** Capable of making the subject more clear for studentsSyllabus coverage within stipulated timeDedication for studentsAvailability for students to clear doubts | **5** |  |
| **03** | **Work culture in the department**Cordial relationship with colleagues, sharing responsibility, volunteer involvement in departmental activities | **5** |  |
| **04** | **Compliance with exam related activities**Accepts and delivers exam related responsibilities assigned at department, college and university level sincerely  | **5** |  |
|  | **Total** | **20** |  |

**PART III: Evaluation of academic excellence (Max Points: 25)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No** | **Key performance indicators** | **Max points** | **Points scored** | **Encl. No.** |
| **01** | **Teaching innovations**Ability to use of ICT enabled teaching, If any other  | **05** |  |  |
| **02** | **Knowledge up-gradation**Participation in seminars/workshops (At least one in an year) OR Participation in apex bodies/professional bodies | **10** |  |  |
| **03** | **Organization of seminars/conferences/workshops**As organizing secretary/ convener,Getting sponsorship from professional funding agencies for seminars/conferences | **05** |  |  |
| **04** | **Contributions in student’s** placements/counseling/NSS/NCC. **OR** **Contributions in policy making bodies as Coordinators** | **05** |  |  |
|  | **Total** | **25** |  |  |

**PART IV: Evaluation of professional excellence (Max Points: 30)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No** | **Key performance indicators** | **Max points** | **Points scored** | **Encl. No.** |
| **01** | **Guest lectures delivered in conference/seminars/others** (Relevancy should be considered) | **05** |  |  |
| **02** | **For presenting paper in conference/seminars/chairing session/serving as evaluator.** Conference/seminars should be either state/national/international level and it should be relevant to the profession. The presenting author/supervisor/mentor will get the following points.State/National conference: 2.5pointsInternational conference: 5 pointsFor chairing session/serving as evaluator: 5 points**OR FDP attended** (minimum of one week) Online FDP (minimum of six weeks) | **10** |  |  |
| **03** | **Research Guidance** (UG mini projects, PG and Ph. D Projects) | **05** |  |  |
| **04** | **Copy rights (**Filed: 5 points, Granted: 10 points)  | **05** |  |  |
| **05** | **Serving as reviewer for reputed journals\***National indexed journals ISSN No**:** 2.5 pointInternational indexed journals with ISSN No**:** 5 points\* In case of open access journals, only reputed and indexed journals are considered. **OR****Serving as editor/editorial board member for reputed journals**\*National indexed journal with ISSN No**:** 2.5 pointsInternational indexed journals with ISSN No**:** 5 points\* In case of open access journals, only reputed and indexed journals are considered. | **05** |  |  |
|  | **Total** | **30** |  |  |

**PART V: Students feed-back for faculty evaluation (Max Points: 10)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No** | **Key performance indicators** | **Max points** | **Points scored** |
| **01** | A standard feed-back from students has to be collected at the end of academic year. Minimum of 80% of students should be present for evaluation procedure. Those students should have at least 80% attendance in their academic year. (above 80% 10 points, 70-79% 8 points, 60-69% 6 points, 50-59% 4 points and below 50% 2 points) | **10** |  |
|  | **Total** | **10** |  |

**PART VI: Examination results (Max Points: 30)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No** | **Key performance indicators** | **Max points** | **Points scored** | **Encl** **No.** |
| **01** | Students passing rate of 76 to100% | **30** |  |  |
| **02** | Students passing rate of 51 to75% | **25** |  |
| **03** | Students passing rate of below 50% | **10** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **Total** | **30** |  |

**PART VII: Publications (Max Points: 45)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No** | **Key performance indicators** | **Max points** | **Points scored** | **Encl. No.** |
| **01** | **Original research papers/ Review articles published in indexed journals of repute with impact factor per paper\*\*;**0 to 1: 10 points1 to 2: 15 points2 to 5: 20 points>5 : 25 points\* Impact factors calculated by Thomson Reuters/JCR will be considered; journals own impact factor calculation will not be taken into consideration.\* \* The points for joint publications shall be calculated in the following manner: Of the total score of publication by the concerned teacher, the First and corresponding author /supervisor /mentor would share 70% of the total points and the remaining 30% would be shared equally by all other authors\*\*. | **25** |  |  |
| **02** | **Original research papers published in UGC approved journal of repute with ISSN (5 points per paper)** | **10** |  |  |
| **03** | **Books/Book chapters**Books/book chapters with ISBN numbers should be published as first or corresponding author with the reputed publishers.  | **10** |  |  |
|  | **Total** | **45** |  |  |

**PART VIII: Research grants/Funded projects & Consultancy (Max Points: 10)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sl. No** | **Key performance indicators** | **Max** **points** | **Points scored** | **Encl. No.** |
| **01** | **The principal investigator will get the following points for research grants received from external funding agencies/internal funding.**Research proposals submitted: 1.5 points (only one per year is considered)Research Grants Received: Up to 1 Lakh: 3 points , above 1 Lakh: 5 points | **05** |  |  |
| **02** | **Consultancy work** (Paid/Honorary) | **05** |  |  |
|  | **Total** | **10** |  |  |

*\** ***Please enclose relevant documents whenever necessary.***

**Grand total: Part I+II+III+IV+V+VI+VII+VIII = 200 points**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Part-I(30) | Part-II(20) | Part-III(25) | Part-IV(30) | Part-V(10) | Part-VI(30) | Part-VII(45) | Part-VIII(10) | **TOTAL** |
| Self Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evaluators Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 **Total points scored:**

 **Scale:**

**Scale Bar for Performance Evaluation**

To evaluate the performance of staff members, the quantitative and qualitative measures will be taken into consideration. The scale of 1-5 will be used for the performance measurement as follows.

1: Poor, 2: Average, 3: Above-Average, 4: Good, and 5: Excellent.

**Table: Scale bar for performance evaluation**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Scale** | **Description** | **Teaching staff points** |
| 1 | Poor | 110 and Below |
| 2 | Average | 111-130 |
| 3 | Above-Average | 131-150 |
| 4 | Good | 151-170 |
| 5 | Excellent | 171 and Above |

**Signature of Staff Signature of HOD Principal**